

Proposed Policy Number and Title: 640 Faculty Sabbatical Leave		
Existing Policy Number and Title: 640 Faculty Sabbatical Leave		
	Approval Process*	
⊠ Regular	☐ Temporary Emergency ☐ Expedited	
□ New	□ New □ New	
⊠ Revision	☐ Revision ☐ Revision	
☐ Deletion	☐ Suspension	
	Anticipated Expiration Date:	
*See UVU Policy 101 Policy G	Foverning Policies for process details.	
Draft Number and Date: Stage 4, February 13, 2025 President's Council Sponsor: Wayne Vaught / Wioleta Fedeczko Ext. Policy Steward: Kat Brown/Alan Parry Ext.		
POLI	CY APPROVAL PROCESS DATES	
Policy Drafting and Revision	POST APPROVAL PROCESS	
Entrance Date: <u>04/12/2018</u>	Verify:	
University Entities Review Entrance Date: 2/8/2024 Close Feedback: 4/4/2024	☐ Policy Number ☐ Section ☐ Title ☐ BOT approval	
University Community Review Entrance Date: 11/14/2024 Open Feedback: 11/14/2024 Close Feedback: 11/21/2024	☐ Effective date ☐ Proper format of Policy Manual posting ☐ TOPS Pipeline and Archives update	
Board of Trustees Review	Policy Office personnel who verified and posted this policy to the University Policy Manual	
Entrance Date: <u>12/12/2024</u>		
Approval Date: Date posted and verified:		



Policies and Procedures

POLICY TITLE	Faculty Sabbatical Leave	Policy Number	640
Section	Academics	Approval Date	
Subsection	Faculty	Effective Date	CX
Responsible Office	Office of the Provost and Senior Vice President of Academic Affairs		

1.0 PURPOSE

- 1 1.1 Utah Valley University understands the significance of professional development, scholarly
- work, and creative endeavors for tenured faculty. To promote faculty members' effectiveness as
- 3 instructors and to keep them updated in their respective fields, UVU offers sabbatical leave.
- 4 Sabbatical leave provides qualified, tenured faculty with the chance to pursue scholarly, creative,
- 5 and pedagogical activities, enhancing their ability to contribute to the University. Sabbatical
- 6 leave is not a faculty benefit; it is a program for professional development and creativity that
- 7 benefits the entire educational enterprise. The University grants sabbatical leave to support this
- 8 purpose.

2.0 REFERENCES

- 9 **2.1** Utah Board of Higher Education Policy R821 *Employee Benefits*
- 10 **2.2** UVU Policy 114 Conflict of Interest and Commitment
- 11 **2.3** UVU Policy 361 *Employee Leave*
- 12 2.4 UVU Policy 632 Advancement in Academic Rank Advancement
- 13 **2.42.5** UVU Policy 633 Faculty Performance Evaluation and Feedback
- 14 2.52.6 UVU Policy 654 Faculty Merit Awards Pay

3.0 DEFINITIONS

- 15 3.1 Academic employmentservice: Cumulative amount of full-time employment time since the
- start of the date of hire into a full-time faculty position at UVU, or the amount of time since the
- 17 completion of the last sabbatical leave.



Policies and Procedures

- 18 **3.2 Annual salary:** Annual faculty base salary, which does not include compensation for other
- assignments such as overload, administrative stipends, or summer contracts.
- 20 3.3 College/school sabbatical review committee: A college-level committee made up of
- 21 tenured, full-time faculty members from that college or school, which conducts the first college-
- 22 level evaluation of sabbatical leave proposals.
- 23 3.43.3 Employment service: Any activity or duty that is required or requested as a normal part
- of a full-time faculty member's employment with the exception of required trainings and faculty
- 25 <u>annual reviews</u>. This includes, but is not limited to, teaching, scholarship, and service.
- 26 3.53.4 Good Standing: The status of an employee who has no disciplinary action imposed or
- 27 pending and has completed all mandatory trainings and faculty annual reviews.
- 28 3.63.5 Sabbatical leave: A paid leave of absence for one or two semesters for a faculty
- 29 member's professional development and/or scholarly and creative works.
- 30 3.73.6 Sabbatical leave proposal: Faculty Sabbatical Leave Proposal Application Form and
- 31 accompanying documentation prepared by a faculty member that details their goals and purpose
- 32 for a sabbatical leave.
- 33 3.8 Sabbatical Leave Protocol: Contains the procedures for requesting and reporting sabbatical
- 34 leave.
- 35 3.93.7 Faculty Sabbatical Leave Template: A standard template used across the University for
- 36 sabbatical leave.
- 37 3.103.8 Sabbatical leave report: A written report prepared by a returning faculty member
- describing and evaluating their activities during their sabbatical leave period. Faculty use the
- 39 Faculty Sabbatical Leave Template to prepare this report.

4.0 POLICY

- 40 4.1 Eligibility
- 4.1.1 Utah Valley University's sabbatical leave program is available to all tenured faculty
- members in good standing with a total of at least six years of academic employmentservice to
- 43 UVU. The status as a UVU full-time employee must be continuous.
- 44 4.1.2 Time spent under Family Medical Leave Act (FMLA) leave is counted toward academic
- 45 employmentservice. Voluntary leaves of absence do not count toward academic
- 46 employmentservice.



Policies and Procedures

4.1.3 After completing a sabbatical leave, a faculty member shall again become eligible for another sabbatical leave after an additional six years of academic employmentservice to UVU, no matter the length of the sabbatical leave taken.

50

60

- 51 4.1.4 Upon recommendation of the department chair and the dean, the Provost may waive the
- 52 basic eligibility criteria when, in their judgment, unusual conditions exist that justify granting a
- 53 sabbatical leave. This may include time-sensitive matters such as when proposals need advance
- 54 confirmation or similar situations.
- 55 **4.1.4**
- 56 4.1.5 Departments have a responsibility to ensure that eligible tenured faculty are given the
- 57 opportunity to take sabbatical leave. Sabbatical leaves are subject to the availability of
- department hourly budget funds for hiring part-time replacement instructors, which may limit the
- 59 number of sabbatical leaves approved each year.

4.2 Length and Compensation

- 4.2.1 A sabbatical leave consists of one or two semesters of leave in the academic year following
- 62 the approval of a sabbatical leave proposal. In the case of early approval, the approved sabbatical
- 63 leave need not be in the academic year following the approval of the proposal.
- 64 4.2.2 The college/school and department shall work together to fund the sabbatical leave and the
- 65 costs of instructional replacement during the faculty member's absence.
- 66 4.2.34.2.2 For a one-semester leave, the faculty member shall be compensated at 100 percent of
- 67 their annual base salary, prorated for one semester.
- 68 4.2.44.2.3 For a two-semester leave, the faculty member shall be compensated at 80 percent of
- 69 their annual base salary.
- 70 4.2.54.2.4 A faculty member on sabbatical leave may accept a fellowship, assistantship, research
- 71 grant, or any other form of employment or compensation so long as the corresponding time
- 72 commitment does not conflict with the faculty member's ability to complete the goals approved
- 73 in their sabbatical leave proposal. Any employment, compensation, or time commitment that is
- not directly related directly to the proposed sabbatical leave should be reported via the Conflict-
- 75 of-Interest Disclosure Form in accordance with UVU Policy 114 Conflict of Interest and
- 76 Commitment.
- 4.2.64.2.5 A faculty member may also receive an allowance from non-UVU sources for
- 78 transportation, housing, and/or cost-of-living differentials, etc.
- 79 4.2.74.2.6 Any additional compensation or allowance acquired during a sabbatical leave in
- 80 addition to the compensation provided by UVU shall not affect the computation of the UVU
- 81 compensation.



Policies and Procedures

82 83 84	4.2.8 Sabbatical leaves are subject to the availability of department hourly budget funds for hiring part-time replacement instructors, which may limit the number of sabbatical leaves approved each year.
85	4.3 Faculty Sabbatical <u>Leave Protocol and Template</u>
86 87	5.1 The <i>Faculty Sabbatical Leave Protocol</i> details the procedures for taking a sabbatical leave from the proposal to the post-sabbatical report.
88 89 90	4.3.1 The Faculty Sabbatical Leave Template is a standardized form that faculty use to submit their sabbatical leave proposal. Academic Affairs creates and maintains the <u>template</u> . Faculty Sabbatical Template.
91	4.4 Department Expectations During the Sabbatical
92 93 94 95 96	4.4.1 Faculty members currently on a sabbatical leave are considered unavailable to the University, college, department, or any division and shall not be requested or required to teach, provide any level of service at the university, or produce scholarly/creative works, except as required by the sabbatical and in extenuating circumstances not normally expected of a full time faculty member.
97 98	4.4.24.4.1 For the entire duration of the faculty member's sabbatical leave, their department shall provide replacement instruction, as necessary.
99 100 101	4.4.3 If circumstances warrant the early abandonment of a sabbatical, then the faculty member and the department chair, in consultation with the dean and Provost, will determine how to return the faculty member to regular status.
102	4.5 Employment Status while on Sabbatical Leave
103 104 105 106 107	4.5.1 Faculty members currently on a sabbatical leave are considered unavailable to the University, college, department, or any division and shall not be requested or required to teach, provide any level of service at the University, or produce scholarly/creative works, except as required by the sabbatical and in extenuating circumstances not normally expected of a full-time faculty member.
108 109	4.5.14.5.2 While on sabbatical leave, faculty members retain UVU benefits and maintain their eligibility for all general or special adjustments in salary. Merit pay in the year in which the

- 4.5.24.5.3 While on sabbatical leave, a faculty member may not provide any employment service
- to UVU for additional compensation. Summer teaching and research is allowed if the sabbatical

sabbatical leave is taken is addressed in UVU Policy 654 Faculty Merit Awards Pay.

leave is for the Fall and/or Spring semesters.

110



Policies and Procedures

- 4.5.34.5.4 While on sabbatical leave, faculty members remain employees of the University and
- therefore, must complete annual trainings required of all employees by President's Council.
- 116 4.5.44.5.5 Regardless of the semester(s) in which they are on sabbatical leave, a faculty member
- must complete the regularly scheduled annual review.
- **4.6 Faculty Sabbatical Leave Report**
- 4.6.1 Upon completion of their sabbatical leave, the faculty member has a professional duty to
- accurately document the results of their sabbatical leave in their next annual review. (See section
- 121 5.6 for the reporting procedure.)
- 4.7 Deviations from the Objectives of a Faculty Sabbatical Leave Proposal
- 4.7.1 The department chair ander dean must approve all deviations from the outcomes and/or
- objectives of the original sabbatical leave proposal prior to the completion of the sabbatical.
- 4.7.2 Any deviation that fundamentally changes the scope or duration of the sabbatical leave and
- projected outcomes must be approved by the department chair, the dean, the Provost, the
- 127 President, and the Board of Trustees.
- 4.7.3 If the deviations are approved and the sabbatical meets the new objectives, the sabbatical
- shall be deemed *successful*.
- 4.7.4 If the deviations are not approved, and there is little to no evidence of sustained effort
- towards the objectives, the department chair ander dean shall deem the sabbatical unsuccessful.
- The faculty member's *unsuccessful* sabbatical is addressed by the processes in UVU Policy 633
- 133 Faculty Performance Evaluation and Feedback.
- 4.8 Obligations to Return from a Sabbatical Leave
- 4.8.1 If circumstances warrant the early abandonment of a sabbatical, then the faculty member
- and the department chair, in consultation with the dean and Provost, will determine how to return
- the faculty member to regular status.
- 4.8.14.8.2 Upon completion of their sabbatical leave, a faculty member must return to academic
- employmentservice at UVU for a period of time equal to the duration of the leave. If the faculty
- member does not return or returns for a shorter period of employmentservice than required, UVU
- shall be entitled to a proportionate refund of the compensation, paid during the leave.

5.0 PROCEDURES

142 5.25.1 Sabbatical Leave Application Process



Policies and Procedures

5.2.15.1.1 Faculty who are eligible for sabbatical leave according to section 4.1 will start the application process by requesting access to the appropriate UVU template system through their department Retention, Tenure, and Promotion (RTP) chairdean. This must be done in accordance with the schedule in section 5.67. Faculty that are not in good standing are not eligible to apply for sabbatical leave.

147 148 149

143

144

145

146

5.2.25.1.2 The department RTP chairdean provides the names of faculty members who wish to apply for sabbatical leave to the director of faculty development.

150 151 152

5.2.35.1.3 The faculty member requesting sabbatical leave must submit their application by the date in the table in section 5.67.

153 154 155

5.2.45.1.4 Faculty must indicate whether they are subject to any NOIN (Notice of Improvement Needed) or PIP (Performance Improvement Plan) as part of their application. A faculty member may be denied sabbatical leave depending on the severity and nature of the PIP or NOIN.

157 158 159

160

161

162

156

5.1.5 If a proposed sabbatical activity would require commitment before the established approval date, a faculty member may request to have their application reviewed early; the faculty member shallmust provide evidence that an early commitment is required. Early requests shall and the faculty member shall follow the procedure request access to the appropriate UVU template system as established in section 5.1.1.

163 164 165

166

167

168

5.1.6 Late submissions, while rarely granted, are possible. If a proposed sabbatical activity presents itself after the published timeline, the faculty member shall submit a letter requesting and justifying the out-of-cycle request to the department chair. The department chair will make a recommendation to the dean, who will make a recommendation to the Provost and the Provost will make the final decision.

169 170

171 5.2.55.1.7 If during the process the faculty applicant is no longer in good standing, then the 172 sabbatical leave proposal will not continue in the process. The reviewer who discovers lack of standing will notify the faculty member and previous reviewers.

173

- 174 5.35.2 University Criteria for Sabbatical Leave Proposals
- **5.3.15.2.1** Sabbatical leave proposals will be assessed using the following criteria: 175
- 176 **5.3.1.15.2.1.1** Consistency with the mission of a teaching institution;
- 5.3.1.25.2.1.2 Meaningful impact on faculty development as a teacher and scholar; 177
- 178 5.3.1.35.2.1.3 Potential to lead to improved pedagogical outcomes; and
- 179 **5.3.1.45.2.1.4** Impact on the faculty member's discipline or industry.



UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

180	5.45.3 Sabbatical Approval Process
181	5.4.1 <u>5.3.1</u> Overview
182 183	5.4.1.15.3.1.1 To gauge the merit of the proposal, all levels of review shall assess proposals using the university sabbatical criteria as defined in section 5.2.
184 185	5.4.1.25.3.1.2 Recommendations made as part of the sabbatical approval process shall remain confidential.
186	5.4.2 <u>5.3.2</u> Department
187 188 189 190 191 192	5.4.2.15.3.2.1 The department chair reviews the proposals, makes a recommendation to approve or deny the proposals, and then submits the proposals with their recommendations to the dean. In order to advance a proposal, the department chair must approve the departmental supplemental teaching plan that is part of the <i>Faculty Sabbatical Leave Template</i> . Departments should ensure that any required course is adequately managed.
193 194 195	5.4.2.2 To ensure confidentiality, tThe department chair shall forward to the dean only the number of approved proposals for which the department has funding.
196 197	5.4.2.35.3.2.3 In order to encourage clear selections, <u>deans may require</u> departments are encouraged to create sabbatical <u>leave</u> criteria to help guide department chairs in this process.
198	5.4.35.3.3 College/School
199 200	5.4.3.15.3.3.1 The dean or designee reviews the proposals and recommendations from the department chair.
201 202 203 204 205 206	5.55.3.3.2 As part of the overall review of the sabbatical leave proposal, the dean also reviews the departmental supplemental teaching plan and either approves or rejects the plan. If the dean rejects the plan, the faculty member, chair, and dean must agree to a new supplemental teaching plan before the sabbatical proposal can advance. If the dean accepts the plan, no further action is needed, and the plan goes into effect only if the sabbatical proposal receives final approval from the President . ProvostBoard of TrusteesPresident; it is not evaluated at any other step.
207 208	5.5.1.15.3.3.3 The dean makes a recommendation to approve or deny the proposals and submits the proposals to the Provost.
209	5.5.2 <u>5.3.4</u> Provost
210 211 212	5.5.2.1 If during the process the faculty applicant is no longer in good standing, then the Provost denies the sabbatical proposal and provides reasoning to the faculty member, department chair, and dean.



Policies and Procedures

213	5.5.2.25.3.4.1 The Provost or designee reviews all proposals and recommendations forwarded by
214	the dean, and makes a recommendation to approve or deny the proposals, and submits the
215	proposals to the President.all remaining proposals with their recommendations.
216	5.5.2.3 The Provost makes a recommendation to approve or deny the proposals and submits the
217	proposals to the President.
218 219	5.5.3 <u>5.3.5</u> President
220	5.3.5.1 The President reviews all proposals and recommendations forwarded by the Provost, and
221	and in consultation with the Board of Trustees, makes a recommendation decision to approve or
222	deny the proposals.
223	and submits the proposals to the Board of Trustees.
224	5.3.5.2 The President's Board of Trustees' decision is final.
225	
226	The President may only rescind the approval of the sabbatical and its corresponding funding if
227	cuts to the university budget make sabbaticals unaffordable to departments or deans, if the
228	faculty member falls out of good standing with the University, or if the University discovers that
229	the faculty member submitted the sabbatical proposal under false pretenses-
230	5.5.3.1 <u>5.3.5.3</u>
231	5.5.4 Board of Trustees
232	5.5.4.1 The Board of Trustees reviews all proposals and recommendations forwarded by the
233	President and to determines which proposals to award.
234	5.5.4.25.1.1.1 The Board of Trustees' decision is final.
235 bac	5 5 4 2 The Decorate GT
236 237	5.5.4.3 The Board of Trustees may only rescind the approval of the sabbatical and its
238	corresponding funding if cuts to the university budget make sabbaticals unaffordable to departments or deans, if the faculty member falls out of good standing with the University, or if
239	the University discovers that the faculty member submitted the sabbatical proposal under false
240	pretenses.
241	pretenses.
242	5.5.55.3.6 According to the dates in the table in section 5.6 the Provost's office shall notify
243	candidates within fifteen (15) business days of the decision. In cases of denial, this notification
244	shall include the reasons for the denial, so that the faculty member may better prepare future
245	proposals.
246	brohonein.
247	5.5.65.3.7 Any NOIN or PIP for a faculty member who has had their sabbatical leave approved
248	will be placed on hold until after the sabbatical leave.



282

needs to contain documentation of the sabbatical.

UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

249	5.65.4 Early Sabbatical Approval
250 251 252 253 254	5.6.15.4.1 When external circumstances require faculty commitment far in advance of the proposed sabbatical term, faculty may submit their proposal early for advance approval. The department chair, the dean, and the Provost must approve the documentation of the need for early commitment, along with the sabbatical proposal. Aside from this additional documentation, the submission and approval process remain the same.
255	5.75.5 Annual Review Ratings for Sabbatical Leave
256 257 258	5.7.15.5.1 Sabbatical leaves are reported as part of the annual review. Sabbatical leave reports are distributed submitted to the department chair at the next annual review following after completion of the sabbatical.
259 260 261 262	5.5.2 The sabbatical leave report shall be reported through the faculty electronic submission platform; the report then becomes part of the faculty member's next annual review. In their annual review comments, the department chair shall indicate whether the sabbatical leave was successful based on the criteria.
263 264 265	5.7.25.5.3 A sabbatical leave shall be deemed successful if the objectives outlined in the sabbatical leave proposal are met. A successful sabbatical shall count as a successful semester/year toward promotion.
266 267 268 269	5.7.3 The sabbatical leave report shall be reported through the faculty electronic submission platform; the report then becomes part of the faculty member's next annual review. In their annual review comments, the department chair shall indicate whether the sabbatical leave was successful based on the criteria.
270 271 272 273	5.5.3.1 A sabbatical leave that is not successful due to reasons outside the faculty member's control shall be deemed a "justified unsuccessful sabbatical.". A sabbatical leave that is not successful due to a faculty member's action or inaction, shall be deemed an "unjustified unsuccessful sabbatical.".
274 275 276	5.7.3.15.5.3.2 For a justified unsuccessful sabbatical, the faculty member may not receive a rating higher than a "meets expectations" in the <u>appropriate scholarship</u> category on their annual review that year.
277 278 279	5.7.3.25.5.3.3 For an unjustified unsuccessful sabbatical, the faculty member cannot receive a rating higher than "sometimes meets expectations" in the scholarship appropriate category on their annual review that year.
280 281	5.7.45.5.4 The portion of the annual review that addresses the term of the sabbatical leave only



Policies and Procedures

283 <u>5.85.6</u> Summary of Dates for Sabbatical Leave <u>Requests Proposals</u>

284 285

Proposal Due Date for Fall Semester

1 Toposai Due Date for Tan Semester		
Date	Intent to apply is sent to:	
Sept. 1	The dean submits finalized list of faculty who	
	are applying for sabbatical leave to the director	
	of faculty development.	
	Proposal is sent to:	
Oct. 25	Department Chair	
Nov. 15	Dean	
Dec. 15	Provost	
Jan. 20	President	
February	Board of Trustees	

286

Proposal Due Date for Spring Semester

Troposar Due Date for Spring Semester		
Date	Intent to apply is sent to:	
Dec. 15	The dean submits finalized list of faculty who	
	are applying for sabbatical leave to the	
	director of faculty development.	
	Proposal is sent to:	
Feb. 25	Department Chair	
Mar. 25	Dean	
Apr. 20	Provost	
May 20	President	
June	Board of Trustees	

287

POLICY HISTORY			
Date of Last Action	Action Taken	Authorizing Entity	
March 19, 2009	Approved.	UVU Board of Trustees	



POLICY	Faculty Sabbatical Leave	Policy	640
TITLE	Faculty Sabbatical Leave	Number	040
Section	Andomias	Approval	
Section	Academics	Date	
Subsection	Faculty	Effective	CX
Subsection	Facuity	Date	
Responsible	Office of the Senior Vice President of		
Office	Academic Affairs		

1.0 PURPOSE

- 1 1.2 Sabbatical leave is an opportunity offered to qualified faculty to engage in scholarly and
- 2 creative activities that will enhance their capacity to contribute to the University. Sabbatical
- 3 leave is not a faculty benefit, but rather a program for professional development and creativity
- 4 that benefits the entire educational enterprise and is granted by the University in consideration
- 5 thereof.

2.0 REFERENCES

- 6 2.62.7 Utah Board of Regents' Policy R821 Employee Benefits
- 7 2.72.8 UVU Policy 361 Leave of Absence

3.0 DEFINITIONS

- 8 3.113.9 Academic service: Cumulative time since the start of the date of hire or the amount of
- 9 time since the completion of the last sabbatical leave.
- 10 3.123.10 Annual salary: Annual faculty base salary. This does not include compensation for
- other assignments such as overload, administrative stipends, or summer contracts.
- 12 3.133.11 Appointment date: The effective Personnel Action Form (PAF) start date of an
- 13 individual in a full-time, tenure-track faculty position.
- 14 3.143.12 Sabbatical leave: A paid leave of absence for one or two semesters, as approved by the
- 15 Board of Trustees, for professional development and widening experience of a faculty member.

4.0 POLICY

16 **4.9 Eligibility**



- 17 **4.9.1** Utah Valley University's sabbatical program is available to all tenured faculty members
- 18 with current full-time appointments to UVU.
- 19 **4.9.2** The basic eligibility criteria are tenure and six years of academic service in a full-time
- 20 faculty position at UVU.
- 21 **4.9.3** A previous sabbatical leave may, at the discretion of the Senior Vice President of
- 22 Academic Affairs, be considered as a relevant factor in granting or denying a request for a
- 23 sabbatical leave.
- 24 **4.9.4** Sabbatical leaves are subject to availability of funds and suitable instructional
- 25 replacements.
- 26 4.9.5 Upon recommendation of the department chair, the dean, and the Senior Vice President of
- 27 Academic Affairs, the President may waive the basic eligibility criteria when, in their judgment,
- 28 unusual conditions exist which justify granting a sabbatical leave.
- 29 **4.9.6** Sabbatical leaves are approved by the Board of Trustees.
- 30 **4.9.7** Applying for a sabbatical leave is a competitive process, since sabbatical funding is
- 31 limited.
- 32 **4.10 Length of Sabbatical Leave**
- 33 4.10.1 During or after the sixth year of academic service, faculty members may apply for one or
- 34 two semesters of sabbatical leave for the following academic year. A minimum of six years shall
- 35 elapse between sabbatical leaves regardless of the length of the leave unless extraordinary
- 36 circumstances are deemed to exist by the department chair, dean, and Senior Vice President of
- 37 Academic Affairs.
- **4.11 Employment Status While on Sabbatical Leave**
- 39 4.11.1 While on sabbatical leave, faculty members may be eligible for all general or special
- 40 adjustments in salary for which they would otherwise qualify.
- 41 4.11.2 Sabbatical recipients retain UVU benefits.
- 42 4.11.3 While on sabbatical leave, a faculty member may not provide any service to UVU for
- 43 additional compensation.
- 44 **4.12 Compensation Standards**
- 45 4.12.1 The college/school and department shall work together to fund the sabbatical leave and
- 46 the costs of instructional replacement during the absence of the faculty member.



- 47 **4.12.2** For a one-semester leave, the recipient shall be compensated 100 percent of their annual
- 48 base salary, prorated for one semester.
- 49 4.12.3 For a two-semester leave, the recipient shall be compensated 80 percent of their annual
- 50 base salary.
- 51 4.12.4 Additional compensation for travel and cost of living away from UVU may be requested
- 52 by the applicant and taken into consideration by the department chair, dean, and Senior Vice
- 53 President of Academic Affairs.
- 54 4.12.5 A faculty member on sabbatical leave may accept a fellowship, assistantship, research
- 55 grant, or similar employment, provided his or her UVU compensation and sabbatical plan are
- 56 approved by the dean, the Senior Vice President of Academic Affairs, the President, and the
- 57 Board of Trustees.
- 58 4.12.6 A faculty member may also receive an allowance from non-UVU sources for
- 59 transportation, housing and/or cost-of-living differentials, etc. Such allowances shall not affect
- 60 the computation of the UVU compensation.
- **4.13 Reporting Requirements**
- 62 4.13.1 Upon the conclusion of a sabbatical leave, the recipient shall file a report of his or her
- 63 activities during the leave, including a summary of the benefit resulting from the leave. This
- 64 report shall be distributed to the department chair, dean, and Senior Vice President of Academic
- 65 Affairs. Outcomes may include, but not necessarily be limited to:
- 66 **4.13.1.1** Creative works,
- 67 **4.13.1.2** Shows, exhibits,
- 68 **4.13.1.3** Articles,
- 69 **4.13.1.4** Books, or
- 70 **4.13.1.5** Under previously negotiated circumstances, a degree or progress toward a degree.
- 71 **4.14 Obligations to return from a Sabbatical Leave**
- 72 4.14.1 The recipient of a sabbatical leave must return to service at UVU for a period of time
- equal to the length of the leave. If he or she does not return, or returns for a shorter period of
- 74 service than required, UVU shall be entitled to a proportionate refund of the compensation paid
- 75 during the leave. An agreement shall be signed by the recipient that states if the recipient does
- 76 not return or returns for a shorter period of service, the recipient is aware he or she is subject to
- 77 payment of refund, attorney fees, or fees for collection efforts.



Policies and Procedures

5.0 PROCEDURES

5.95.7 During or after the sixth year of service, a faculty member may send a request for a sabbatical leave to their department chair by November 15 for sabbaticals beginning the following Fall semester or by April 1 for sabbaticals beginning the following Spring semester. Applications shall include the purpose of the leave, a detailed description of the activities during the leave and proposed outcomes. Candidates shall be notified within ten business days of the decision of the Board of Trustees according to the dates in the table at the end of this document. In cases of denial, candidates shall be informed of the reasons for the denial, so they may better prepare future applications.

5.105.8 Summary of Dates for Sabbatical Leave Requests

APPLICATION DUE DATE FOR FALL SEMESTER

Date	Request is sent to:	
Nov. 15	Department Chair	
Dec. 1	Dean	
Dec. 15	VPAA	
Jan. 15	President	
Feb.	Board of Trustees	

APPLICATION DUE DATE FOR SPRING SEMESTER

Date	Request is sent to:	
Apr. 1	Department Chair	
Apr. 15	Dean	
May 1	VPAA	
May 20	<u>President</u>	
June	Board of Trustees	

POLICY HISTORY					
Date of Last Action	Action Taken	Authorizing Entity			
March 19, 2009	Approved.	UVU Board of Trustees			





Equity Assessment Committee (EAC) Worksheet

NOTE: This form is for internal use only by the EAC and policy sponsors/stewards/coordinators. This form captures general equity concerns and those that impact the specific groups listed. The Equity Assessment Findings and Reponses Summary form accompany the Stage 1 draft.

Policy Number: 640	Policy Title: Sabbatical Leave
EAC Review Date: January 24, 2024	Policy Sponsor: Wayne Vaught
Date Completed by Policy	Policy Steward: Kat Brown
Sponsor/Steward/Coordinator:	

UVU Scope (Groups impacted):

Adult learners Age (40+) Color

First-generation student status

Individuals with apparent or non-apparent disabilities

National origin and citizenship status

Pregnancy, pregnancy-related conditions Race and ethnicity

Religion, spirituality, and worldviews Sex, gender identity, and gender expression

Sexual orientation

Socioeconomic status

Veteran status (including uniformed military status)

Section	Groups Impacted	General Equity	Equity Concern	Equity Recommendation	Policy Sponsor/Steward/Coordinator Proposed Solution
1.1, line 4		X	ACCESSIBLE LANGUAGE AND POTENTAIL ABUSE OF DISCRETIONARY POWERS:	Clearly define "good standing." In Policy 113, it is defined as "Describes the status of an employee who has no disciplinary	Good standing is defined as "The status of an employee who has no disciplinary action



-			
4.1.1, line	EAC is concerned that words such as "qualified" "good standing" and	action imposed or pending."	
4.1.1, line 39 4.1.5, line 52 5.3.4.1, line 180 5.3.6.3, line198	EAC is concerned that words such as "qualified," "good standing," and "eligible" are vague in the context of this particular policy. This makes the policy language less accessible, especially for faculty that come from groups that research shows are often not mentored as well in processes such as requesting sabbatical leave. For example, does the term mean faculty who meet criteria for being able to apply for sabbatical leave or does it mean faculty qualified to teach at UVU? EAC is also concerned that terms such as "qualified" need to be clearly defined when decisions affecting sabbatical leave and other career aspects of faculty are made with criteria that is as objective as possible so that an abuse of discretionary powers can be avoided as much as possible.	action imposed or pending." Clarify if being in "good standing" is part of being "qualified"—and define what "qualified" means in the context of this policy. If the faculty member is not in good standing, the Provost denies the sabbatical leave—should faculty who are not in good standing (however that will be defined here) even apply for sabbatical leave? And wouldn't the department chairs and deans have this information about the faculty member's standing—should their proposal for leave even go as far as the Provost, in this case? The sabbatical leave proposal can then even go as far as the Board of Trustees for approval—and they may rescind their approval if the faculty member "falls out of good standing with the University" or the faculty member submitted their proposal under false pretenses. The EAC recommends that	imposed or pending and has completed all mandatory trainings." 4.1.1 The program is available to all tenured faculty in good standing; it is not available to those who are not in good standing. It is assumed they would not apply. While the program is available, not all faculty will be eligible. We are not sure what the concern is with 4.1.5. We have clarified 5.1.1 to state that faculty not in good standing may not apply for sabbatical. The concerns with 5.3.6.3 are not clear. The sabbatical process takes several months and it is possible that faculty who begin the process in good standing may fall out of good standing during the process.
A		1) these terms be clearly defined.	
		Perhaps the term "sabbatical eligibility"	
)	would provide clarity and specificity.	
		2) the policy state clearly that faculty	
		who know they are not in good standing	



			and under investigation should NOT	
			apply for sabbatical leave (training in this	
			might be recommended as well),	
			3) it be researched as to how high up the	
			approval chain a proposal must go	
			before a faculty member is determined	
			to not be in good standing—this vetting	
			should occur before the leave proposal	
			gets to the Provost or the Board of	
			Trustees	
			Trustees	
3.4 and 4.5.3	X	ACCESSIBLE, CLEAR LANGUAGE: EAC is concerned that the policy	EAC recommends that it be clarified why faculty must take required training while on	Faculty members remain employees of the University during sabbatical and therefore
		requirements and language around	sabbatical leave.	must complete all mandatory trainings.
		"required training" is confusing. Section		However, they are not expected to teach,
		3.4 indicates required training is not part		engage in scholarship, or engage in service
		of one's employment service; yet, faculty		outside of their sabbatical goals.
		are required to complete all annual		
		training while on sabbatical leave and		Added clarifying language to 4.5.3
4.1.4	X	continued employment depends on it.	EAC	W
4.1.4	X	POTENTIAL ABUSE OF DISCRETIONARY POWER:	EAC recommends providing more criteria for situation in which the Provost is	We cannot be more specific due to the variety of opportunities that arise among faculty. That
		EAC is concerned that policy language is	permitted to waive eligibility criteria for	is why it much be a collaborative effort among
		too vague where it permits the Provost to	sabbatical leave proposals.	the chair, dean, and Provost.
		waive basic eligibility criteria and use	suboutieur leuve proposuis.	the onan, acan, and 110 vost.
		their judgement to determine what		
		"unusual conditions" exist to justify		
		granting a sabbatical leave.		
4.7.4	X	ACCESSIBLE, CLEAR LANGUAGE:	EAC recommends that the policy make clear	We have added language that refers faculty to
	_	EAC believes the consequences of	what other consequences might occur when a	Policy 633.
		having a sabbatical leave deemed as	sabbatical leave is deemed "unsuccessful." It	
		"justified unsuccessful" or "unjustified	may be prudent to further explain or	
		unsuccessful" are not fully explained.	reference appropriate policies about the	
		The annual review ratings these would	"Meets expectations" and "sometimes meets	
		result in are explained in section 5.5, but are other consequences?	expectations" ratings.	
		are other consequences?		



101	T		COCIO ECONOMIC FOLUTY ICCLE.	EAC	W-114h-1
4.8.1	X		SOCIO-ECONOMIC EQUITY ISSUE: How are faculty, who return early from sabbatical leave, to repay their salaries and their benefits—especially those benefits for which employees are not paid in money and which the university subsidizes. Some faculty may not have the means to pay back salary and also these types of benefits.	EAC recommends that the policy explain more explicitly what must be repaid and how the faculty member will repay the university. The policy owners should also reconsider how faculty would pay back for benefits for which they do not receive direct renumeration.	We have removed the benefits phrase.
5.1.4		X	ACCESSIBLE, CLEAR LANGUAGE: It is not clear as to why faculty must reveal that they are on a NOIN or PIP when the policy later states any NOIN or PIP is suspended until the faculty member completes their sabbatical leave. If the presence of a NOIN or PIP would impact the faculty member's ability to gain approval for sabbatical leave, this should be made clear.	EAC recommends that the policy explain why faculty members must state they are on a NOIN or PIP when these are suspended if faculty member's sabbatical leave proposal is approved. If the presence of a NOIN or PIP could impact that approval, the policy should clearly state this.	We have added clarifying language.
5.3.2.2		X	POTENTIAL ABUSE OF DISCRETIONARY POWERS: In this policy section, the chair forwards only the number of approved proposals the department can afford. There is no explanation on how the chair chooses from among all approved proposals which can go forward and which do not based on budget restrictions. There is no criteria laid out here on how the chair will make that decision.	EAC recommends that 1) Clear criteria be included in the policy that the chair will use to pick from among approved proposals when they are faced with a budget restriction. 2) That appropriate transparency in the process be built into the policy. 3) That an appeals process be available for faculty to appeal sabbatical leave decisions.	Due to the varied departments and disciplines on campus, we cannot create one set of criteria to fit all faculty. We have added 5.3.2.3, encouraging departments to create sabbatical criteria.



Page 20 of 32

POLICY APPROVAL PROCESS - STAGE 2 SUMMARY OF COMMENTS

Policy Title: Faculty Sabbatical Leave	Policy Number: 640
Sponsor: Wayne Vaught	Steward: Kat Brown & Alan Parry
Presentation to: Faculty Senate	Date Presented: 20 Feb 2024

NOTE: Indicate with X whether the comment is editorial (grammar, punctuation, sentence structure, etc.) or is a substance comment (content, procedure, etc.).

CAMPUS ENTITY	POLICY SECTION	Editorial Comment?	Substance Comment?	CONCERN	SPONSOR/STEWARD RESPONSE
Faculty Senate	3.5	Х		"Good standing" should be bolded.	We have made the recommended changes.
Faculty Senate	4.1.1		X	The policy needs to clarify what is meant by "six years of academic service to UVU." Must they all be in the same position or concurrently? REVISED: Concurrent in this context is referring to the six years themselves. Do faculty have to serve six concurrent years to be eligible for sabbatical? For instance, would an academic employee be eligible for sabbatical if they served for a period less than six years, left that position, and then later were rehired and worked for another period less than six years but the	"Concurrent" is defined as "existing, happening, or done at the same time," and years of service cannot be done at the same time; so, from the revised comment, it sounds like you mean "continuous" or "without interruption." We added, "The status as a UVU full-time employee must be continuous." The time of employment in a full-time faculty position or "academic employment" can be broken up by an unpaid leave, but UVU employment must be continuous.



Page **21** of **32**

			total combine time they were in an	CX
			academic position was six years?	
Faculty Senate	4.3	X	The policy needs to contain more detail on the "Faculty Sabbatical Leave Proposal Form," both in terms of the proposal form itself, and who creates/maintains said form.	We have removed reference to the proposal form. Sabbaticals will instead use a Faculty Sabbatical Template. The revision states it is created by Academic Affairs.
Faculty Senate	4.4	X	The title of this section is misleading as it is not about the expectations placed upon the faculty member, and the three items within the section are incongruent. Some suggestion for reorganizing: 4.4 becomes "Departmental Expectations During Sabbatical" Subsection 4.4.1 should be a subsection of section 4.5. The current 4.4.2 becomes 4.4.1 Subsection 4.4.3 should be a subsection of section 4.8	We have made the recommended changes.
Faculty Senate	4.7.4	x	Add policy 633 (Faculty Performance Evaluation and Feedback) to the references.	We have made the recommended change.



Page 22 of 32

Faculty Senate	5.2	X	The language in this section is too narrow even for work that might align with institutional priorities (e.g., creation of a new certificate program). Since our institution is a teaching institution and sabbatical leave should align with our institution goals, then sabbatical leave should be granted for purposes that are within the realm of research, teaching, and service. As such, 5.2.1.1-5.2.1.4 are not broad enough. The application for sabbatical leave should align with the criteria for tenure and the tenure process.	We believe the language is very broad and allows for multiple departments to establish expectations for sabbaticals. It should not align with the tenure process because untenured faculty are not eligible for sabbatical. Faculty working toward tenure who also apply for a sabbatical immediately after tenure can fit into this process.
Faculty Senate	5.3.2.2	х	This subsection speaks "to ensure confidentiality" but does not state for whom or to what end. Clarify what is being held confidential and the intent of that confidentiality.	We have made the recommended change.
Faculty Senate	5.3.2.3	x	As it is currently stated, departments are simply encouraged to develop sabbatical leave criteria to aid in clear selections for leave. This should be a requirement as to eliminate all unnecessary bias, and the policy needs to define who develops and maintains this	We added "deans may require" departments to create sabbatical leave criteria"



Page 23 of 32

				required set of criteria.	
Faculty Senate	5.3.3.2	Х	х	The ending clause, "it is not evaluated at any other step" is confusing and needs some	We have removed the language.
Faculty	F F 22			clarification.	We have made the recommended
Faculty Senate	5.5. <u>3</u> 2	X		The last word should read "met" not "me."	changes.
Faculty Senate	5.5.3.1 & 5.5.3.2		х	The policy needs to define what is meant by justified vs unjustified unsuccessful sabbatical.	We have added a new section 5.5.3.1 to address the issue.
Faculty Senate	5.5.3.1 & 5.5.3.2		х	Tying back to our comments on section 5.2 (above). As we believe that a sabbatical should be granted for purposes beyond just research, we feel that it would be consistent that in the case of an unsuccessful sabbatical, an individual could have their ratings negatively impacted in whichever area(s) their sabbatical was focused.	We have changed "scholarship" to "appropriate" in both sections.

Page **24** of **32**

Policy Title:	Faculty Sabbatical Leave	Policy Number: 640	
Sponsor:		Steward:	
Presentation to: AAC			Date Presented:

NOTE: Indicate with X whether the comment is editorial (grammar, punctuation, sentence structure, etc.) or is a substance comment (content, procedure, etc.).

CAMPUS	POLICY	Editorial	Substance	CONCERN	SPONSOR/STEWARD RESPONSE
ENTITY	SECTION	Comment?	Comment?		
AAC	3.1			The term "academic service" could be confusing due to the teaching/scholarship/service connections. "Academic employment" could be an alternative term.	Agreed. We changed it throughout the policy.
AAC	3.3			The "college/school sabbatical review committee" is mentioned only in this definition, where it states that this committee "conducts the first college-level evaluation of sabbatical leave proposals." There is no mention of this committee or this review in either the policy section or procedure section of the document. Recommendation that this section be removed.	Thank you for catching that mistake. We have removed it.
AAC	3.3		337	How do we determine if a unit is a school or college? I believe that it is whichever institution controls the group, but that's not made clear in policy. Could we standardize language so that colleges are smaller units that exist inside of schools?	Not longer relevant because we deleted this definition
AAC	3.4			"Any activity or duty that is required or requested as a normal part of a full-time faculty member's employment with the	We added "and faculty annual reviews" to both 3.3 and 3.4.



Page 25 of 32

		exception of required trainings and	CX
		faculty annual reviews." Annual reviews should be added to this section since	
		they are specifically mentioned in	
		section 4.5.4 as needing to be	
		completed while on sabbatical.	00/79
AAC	3.5	"Good Standing" should be in bold.	We have made the recommended changes.
AAC	4.1.5	How is department compliance	We removed the following language from
AAC	4.1.5	measured and reported? What are the	section 4.1.5:
		consequences for noncompliance?	Section 4.1.3.
		What recourse do faculty have if a	"Departments have a responsibility to
		department is noncompliant? While this	ensure that eligible tenured faculty are
		is a nice thought, it is at odds with	given the opportunity to take sabbatical
		section 4.2.8 which establishes that	leave".
		"Sabbatical leaves are subject to the	reave .
		availability of department hourly budget	
		funds for hiring part-time replacement	
		instructors, which may limit the number	
		of sabbatical leaves approved each	
		year." Recommendation that this	
		section be removed.	
AAC	4.1.5	This is in the "Eligibility" section of the	We removed the following language from
		policy but isn't about eligibility. If this	this section:
		section needs to be retained, it should	"Departments have a responsibility to
		be moved elsewhere.	ensure that eligible tenured faculty are
			given the opportunity to take sabbatical
			leave"
AAC	4.2.1	The focus in this section on when	We revised it to read, "A sabbatical leave
		sabbaticals occur seems unnecessary	consists of one or two semesters of leave
		and presents three problems, though	following the approval of a sabbatical leave
		they may be rare. The first is already	proposal." We also removed the last
		recognized as an issue in the policy,	sentence as it was no longer necessary.
		namely "early approval" of sabbaticals	
		which will not take place "in the	
		academic year following the approval of	

Page **26** of **32**

			a sabbatical proposal." Second, faculty sometimes defer going on their sabbatical for a year for personal, work, or other reasons. (There is no mention of this practice in the policy. I hope it is still an option.) When faculty defer for a year, they are no longer taking the sabbatical "in the academic year following the approval of a sabbatical leave proposal." Third, there could be extenuating circumstances where a two-semester sabbatical would be needed over non-sequential semesters. If this were to happen, the second semester would not be "in the academic year following the approval of a sabbatical proposal." It might be easier if this section read, "A sabbatical leave consists of one or two semesters of leave following the approval of a	
AAC	4.2.2		sabbatical leave proposal." That would address all three issues. The policy is inconsistent regarding the organizational unit that is responsible for funding instructional replacement. This section lists the "college/school and department," but sections 4.2.8 and 5.3.2.2 clearly make it the responsibility of the department to cover the costs associated with sabbaticals.	We removed this section as it is covered in 4.1.5.
AAC	4.2.2	Q ^O	This is in the "Length and Compensation" section but it isn't about length and compensation. Recommendation to relocate to another section.	No longer relevant. We deleted this section; please see section 4.1.5.



Page 27 of 32

AAC	4.2.8		This is in the "Length and	We moved 4.2.8 to 4.1.5
			Compensation" section but it isn't about	
			length and compensation.	
			Recommendation to relocate to another	
	4.4		section.	71
AAC	4.4		Section 4.4 "Expectations During the	This is a stylistic concern and a logical
			Sabbatical" (minus 4.4.2) and section	division of subjects, thus, we have decided
			4.5 "Employment Status while on	to leave it as the committee wrote it.
			Sabbatical Leave" cover the same	
			territory and could be combined into a	
			single section.	
AAC	4.4.1		Is this sentence referring to	Yes. This section is now 4.5.1.
			scholarly/creative works requested by	
			the university that were not part of the	
			sabbatical plan?	
			The last phrase ("in extenuating	
			circumstances") is unclear. Would the	
			following have the same meaning,	
			"required to teach, provide any level	
			of service at the university, or produce	
			scholarly/creative works for the	
			university except for those that were	
			part of the sabbatical proposal"?	
AAC	4.4.2		This is in the "Expectations During the	We disagree. Section 4.4.2 is an
			Sabbatical" section but it isn't about	expectation of the department.
			expectations during the sabbatical.	
AAC	4.5		Section 4.4 "Expectations During the	This is a stylistic concern and we have
			Sabbatical" (minus 4.4.2) and section	decided to leave it as the committee wrote
			4.5 "Employment Status while on	it.
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Sabbatical Leave" cover the same	
			territory and could be combined into a	
			single section.	



Page 28 of 32

AAC	4.5.2		"Summer teaching and research is allowed if the sabbatical leave is for the	This may be a misunderstanding because this is how it is supposed to currently works
			Fall and/or Spring semesters." This is	according to Academic Affairs.
			not how things currently work. What	
			are the budgetary or other	
			consequences of this change? Should	
			service be mentioned along with	
			teaching and research?	
AAC	4.6.1		"(See section 5.6 for the reporting	Yes, we added, "in their next annual
			procedure.)" Section 5.6 in this policy is	review" and removed the reference to
			"Summary of Dates for Sabbatical Leave	section 5.6.
			Requests." It is unclear where the	λ
			sabbatical reporting procedure is in this	
			policy.	
AAC	4.7.1		"The department chair or dean must	We have changed it to include both the
			approve all deviations from the	department chair and dean.
			outcomes and/or objectives of the	
			original sabbatical leave proposal" Is it	
			the chair or the dean who must	
			approve? Can the faculty member pick	
			whoever they prefer working with?	
			Clarity on this would be good.	
AAC	4.7.4		"The department chair or dean shall	We changed the "or" to "and" to clarify
			deem the sabbatical unsuccessful." Is it	that both the dean and department chair
			the chair or the dean who makes this	make this decision.
			decision? How is the decision made who	
			does this? Clarity on this would be good.	
AAC	4.8.1		No need for a comma on line 125.	Removed.
AAC	5.1.1		"Faculty who are eligible for sabbatical	We have made the recommended changes.
			leave according to section 4.1 start the	
			application process by requesting access	
			to the appropriate UVU template	
			system through their department	
			Retention, Tenure, and Promotion (RTP)	
			chair." This section contradicts section	
	•	7	•	



Page **29** of **32**

		5.6 which states that "The dean submits finalized list of faculty who are applying for sabbatical leave to the director of faculty development." I think 5.6 makes a lot more sense. The director of faculty development would only need to coordinate with the offices of seven deans versus 40+ departments. Recommend that this be reworded as follows, "Faculty who are eligible for sabbatical leave according to section 4.1 start the application process by requesting access to the appropriate UVU template system through their dean." If we change section 5.1.1 to include the dean, we will also need to change section 5.1.2.	
AAC	5.1.1	Does the dean need to coordinate with the chair? Is there a mechanism in the policy to ensure that the dean and the chair are both on the same side?	We changed it as suggested above.
AAC	5.1.1	This section starts with "Faculty who are eligible for sabbatical leave according to section 4.1" and ends with "Faculty that are not in good standing, are not eligible to apply for sabbatical leave." Section 4.1 states that faculty must be in good standing. Because the last sentence of this section is addressed in 4.1 it isn't needed here.	We removed the last sentence.
AAC	5.1.1	"in accordance with the schedule in section 5.7." This policy does not have a	We corrected it to read 5.6.

Page **30** of **32**

		section 5.7. The schedule is in section 5.6.	CX.
AAC	5.1.3	"by the date in the table in section 5.7." This policy does not have a section 5.7. The table is in section 5.6.	We corrected it to read 5.6.
AAC	5.1.5	Early applications for a sabbatical are mentioned in sections 4.2.1, this section (5.1.5), and 5.4 / 5.4.1. It makes sense that there may be times when a faculty member needs to apply for sabbatical earlier than normal. I wonder if there are not also occasions when a faculty member has an opportunity present itself and needs to apply for a sabbatical after the published timeline has passed. Are these simply denied or is there a mechanism for approving such requests? It would allow more flexibility if the policy focused on "out of cycle" sabbatical requests. This would allow for the review of early or late proposals, subject to approval and demonstration of the need to be off cycle.	Based on discussions with the Provost, we added section 5.1.6 that will allow faculty to request consideration for an out-of-cycle request, similar to the early tenure/clock stoppage process for tenure.
AAC	5.1.5	"Early requests shall follow the procedure established in section 5.1.1." Section 5.1.1 doesn't establish procedures for the review of early applications.	We changed it to include the part of procedure that would be followed. We changed it to "and the faculty member shall request access to the appropriate UVU template system as established in section 5.1.1."
AAC	5.2	Are all required? Do they all carry equal weight?	Yes, they are all required. The weight of each is better addressed in UVU template system.
AAC	5.2.1.2	"Faculty development as a teacher and scholar." Will sabbaticals be approved if they focus on only one of these?	These need to overlap so the language will remain as it is.



Page **31** of **32**

		Teaching and scholarship can overlap, but they do not always.	CX
AAC	5.3.2.2	"To ensure confidentiality, the department chair shall forward to the dean only the number of approved proposals for which the department has funding." How does this ensure confidentiality? Confidentiality of what and related to whom?	We removed, "To ensure confidentiality."
AAC	5.3.3.2	"The plan goes into effect only if the sabbatical proposal receives final approval from the Provost." Shouldn't this be the Board of Trustees? According to this policy, the Provost makes a recommendation to the president while it is the Board of Trustees who determine which sabbaticals are approved.	Yes, we changed it to the Board of Trustees.
AAC	5.3.4.1	"If during the process the faculty applicant is no longer in good standing, then the Provost denies the sabbatical proposal and provides reasoning to the faculty member, department chair, and dean." Why is this specific to the review of the Provost? Should this not be a general principle that applies to the entire process? What if after the Provost the faculty member is no longer in good standing? Does the President advance it to the Board of Trustees as if the faculty member was in good standing? It seems like a general principle that if at any time the faculty member is no longer in good standing	We added the following as 5.1.6: "If during the process the faculty applicant is no longer in good standing, then the sabbatical leave proposal will not continue in the process. The reviewer who discovers lack of standing will notify the faculty member and previous reviewers."



Page **32** of **32**

Policies and Procedures

		the sabbatical application stops moving forward would make more sense.	CX
AAC	5.4 / 5.4.1	See the comments on section 5.1.5. I think this section would work better as an "out of cycle" request section.	See response to concerns regarding section 5.1.5
AAC	5.5.1	"Sabbatical leave reports are distributed at the next annual review after completion of the sabbatical." To whom are they distributed?	We changed it to "Sabbatical leave reports are submitted to the department chair at the next annual review following completion of the sabbatical.
AAC	5.5.2	Typo: "A sabbatical leave shall be deemed successful if the objectives outlined in the sabbatical leave proposal are met."	We have made the recommended changes.
AAC	5.6	There is no guidance on reporting in Section 5.6. Should this refer to Section 5.5 which has some guidance on the report?	5.6 includes only the dates for the proposals. We changed the title to reflect this.

Policy Title: Faculty Sabbatical Leave	Policy Number: 640	
Sponsor: Wayne Vaught Steward: Kat Brown & Alan		Parry
Presentation to: PACE		Date Presented:

NOTE: Indicate with X whether the comment is editorial (grammar, punctuation, sentence structure, etc.) or is a substance comment (content, procedure, etc.).

CAMPUS ENTITY	POLICY SECTION	Editorial Comment?	Substance Comment?	CONCERN	SPONSOR/STEWARD RESPONSE
				No comments	