



UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

Policies and Procedures

POLICY TITLE	Faculty Tenure	Policy Number	637
Section	Academics	Approval Date	April 25, 2024
Subsection	Faculty	Effective Date	April 25, 2024
Responsible Office	Office of the Provost/Academic Affairs	Last Review	April 25, 2024

1.0 PURPOSE

1.1 This policy establishes the requirements and procedures for achieving tenure at Utah Valley University.

2.0 REFERENCES

2.1 *Duties and Responsibilities of the President of a Degree-granting Institution of Higher Education—Approval by Board of Trustees*, Utah Code § 53B-2-106.1

2.2 Utah Board of Higher Education Policy R312 *Institutional Missions and Roles*

2.3 Utah Board of Higher Education Policy R481 *Academic Freedom, Professional Responsibility, Tenure, Termination, and Post-Tenure Review*

2.4 Utah Board of Higher Education Policy R482 *Bona Fide Financial Exigency and Personnel Reduction*

2.5 UVU Policy 165 *Discrimination and Harassment*

2.6 UVU 600 Series *Academic Policies*

2.7 American Association of University Professors' 1940 *Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure*

3.0 DEFINITIONS

3.1 Academic year: The period beginning Fall semester and ending with the subsequent Spring semester.



UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

Policies and Procedures

3.2 Department: A group of salaried, benefits-eligible faculty members from the same or related disciplines that are authorized by the Provost to act as an academic unit in evaluating faculty peers for retention, tenure, and promotion.

3.3 Faculty portfolio: A collection of documents prepared by a faculty member as evidence of their contributions in teaching, scholarly and creative works, and service to their profession and the University. Documents may be stored electronically. (See section 5.6.1.)

3.4 Midterm review: A comprehensive review of a faculty member's faculty portfolio to evaluate progress on their tenure plan. This review typically takes place during the third year of the probationary period and considers the faculty member's contributions throughout the previous two years of tenure-track service.

3.5 Probationary year: A year in which a faculty member is in a tenure-track position but is not yet tenured.

3.6 Retention, tenure, and promotion committee (RTP committee): A group of tenured faculty members that evaluates faculty peers for recommendations for or against retention, tenure, or promotion. RTP committees may serve a single academic department, a cluster of academic departments in the same school, or an entire school. (See section 5.2.)

3.7 Service: For the purposes of this policy, refers to service activities at the University, to one's profession, and in the community that are clearly related to the faculty member's role.

3.8 Tenure: A condition of continuing employment, awarded to qualified faculty members, that promotes academic freedom, attracts professionals of ability, and enhances the quality of the University's academic programs.

3.9 Tenure plan: An outline of proposed goals and achievements, to be completed by a faculty member during the probationary period, related to teaching, scholarly and creative works, and service.

3.10 Tenure review: A comprehensive review of a faculty member's faculty portfolio to determine whether or not the faculty member shall be awarded tenure. This review typically takes place during the sixth year of the probationary period and considers the faculty member's contributions throughout the previous five years.

3.11 Tenure review portfolio: The collection of documents consisting of a faculty member's faculty portfolio submitted for evaluation for tenure or midterm review, a copy of the relevant tenure criteria, copies of annual reviews, peer and other evaluations solicited by the RTP committee during the review process, Students Ratings of Instruction (SRI) for the evaluation period, and all applicable recommendations from the RTP committee, department chair, dean, Provost, and President of the University, as applicable, as well as any optional written responses



UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

Policies and Procedures

of the faculty member submitted during the review process in response to letters of the various reviewers.

3.12 Termination for cause: Dismissal of tenured faculty for professional incompetence, serious misconduct or unethical behavior, serious violation of university policies and procedures, or substantially impaired performance due to health reasons. (See Utah Board of Regents Policy R481.)

3.13 University Tenure Advisory Committee (Advisory Committee): A Faculty Senate committee charged with reviewing tenure candidates' tenure review portfolios and advising the Provost on whether each portfolio satisfies department and university criteria for tenure review portfolios. (See section 5.3.)

3.14 Faculty Senate Retention, Tenure, Promotion and Appeals Committee (RTP&A Committee): A standing committee of Faculty Senate that encourages equity and rigor in department tenure criteria, promotes adherence to the policies of the University, considers appeals of tenure decisions, and advises on matters of tenure policy.

4.0 POLICY

4.1 Awarding of Tenure

4.1.1 Tenure is awarded by the President of the University, in consultation with the Board of Trustees, based on the recommendations of the RTP committee, department chair, dean, and Provost.

4.1.2 Recommendations of the reviewing bodies are to be based upon university policies and evaluations of the tenure review portfolio with respect to approved department tenure criteria.

4.1.3 Tenure shall be awarded when a faculty member demonstrates sustained, expected levels of teaching effectiveness, scholarly and creative endeavors, and service to the profession, the University, and the community, as set forth in the approved department tenure criteria and in accordance with all 600 series academic policies relevant to the faculty member's position. Faculty are also expected not to discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, age (40 and over), disability, veteran status, pregnancy, childbirth, or pregnancy-related conditions, genetic information, or other bases protected by applicable federal, state, or local law, as consistent with the University's Policy 165 on prohibited discrimination, harassment and related misconduct.

4.1.4 A faculty member's tenure award is tied to one specific academic department. When a faculty member transfers to another department during the probationary period or after tenure, the approved procedures of the new department determine the transfer candidate's tenure status. (See section 5.9.1.)



UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

Policies and Procedures

4.1.5 Tenured status shall become effective on July 1 following approval from the President.

4.2 Development and Review of Criteria for Awarding Tenure

4.2.1 Each department shall develop tenure criteria that establish the expected levels of faculty performance in teaching, scholarly and creative endeavors, and service in accordance with the standards of their respective disciplines, consistent with the principles and practices specified in UVU Policy 635 *Faculty Rights and Professional Responsibilities*, and in accordance with the mission of the University.

4.2.2 Tenure criteria documents shall be sufficiently detailed that faculty members can develop a plan for achieving tenure by the end of the probationary period and shall provide for variations in assigned workload.

4.2.3 Tenure criteria shall undergo multiple levels of review and approval at least every five years to assure clarity and consistency of expectations for tenure across the University. (See section 5.1.)

4.3 Eligibility and Probationary Period

4.3.1 Tenure is only awarded to a faculty member or administrator who has successfully completed a probationary period of tenure-track service at the University or another regionally accredited or recognized institution.

4.3.2 The probationary period for tenure shall be six years of full-time, tenure-track service.

4.3.3 Probationary years may be fulfilled through previous employment in a tenure-track position at other regionally accredited or recognized international institutions as agreed upon at time of hire.

4.3.4 The probationary period and conditions for awarding tenure may be altered by circumstances that may include but are not limited to stoppage of the tenure clock, department transfer, administrative appointment during the probationary period, or agreements made at the time of hire.

4.3.5 Faculty members who have been notified before the commencement of the final year of the probationary period that their employment shall not be continued are not eligible to apply for tenure.

4.4 Review Process for Tenure

4.4.1 The review process for tenure includes an annual review of the tenure plan and subsequent written feedback from the department chair to a probationary faculty member. Comprehensive



UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

Policies and Procedures

reviews shall occur at midterm in the probationary period and at the time of consideration for tenure in the final year of the probationary period.

4.4.2 Evaluations for midterm or tenure review shall be based only on the material in the tenure review portfolio. (See section 5.6.2.)

4.4.3 Recommendations regarding retention of a probationary faculty member at midterm shall be made by the RTP committee, department chair, and dean, with final determination regarding retention made by the Provost.

4.4.4 Recommendations regarding tenure for a probationary faculty member shall be made by the RTP committee, department chair, dean, and Provost, with final determination regarding tenure made by the President of the University in consultation with the Board of Trustees.

4.5 Responsibilities of the Tenured Faculty Member and the University

4.5.1 A tenured faculty member shall make ongoing contributions in teaching, scholarly and creative work, and service in support of the mission of the University. Tenure presupposes reciprocal responsibilities between the faculty member and the University. The University shall respect the rights of faculty pertaining to academic freedom and may not dismiss a tenured faculty member without cause except in the case of a bona fide program or unit discontinuance, or under circumstances of financial exigency.

5.0 PROCEDURES

5.1 Development and Application of Tenure Criteria

5.1.1 Each department shall develop discipline-appropriate tenure and promotion criteria, consistent with university policy and mission, and subject to review and approval by the department faculty, dean, and Provost.

5.1.2 The Faculty Senate Retention, Tenure, Promotion and Appeals Committee (RTP&A Committee) shall have responsibility for reviewing department tenure criteria for compliance with this policy. The committee shall review approximately 20% of the department RTP criteria documents each year, ensuring that each RTP document is reviewed at least once every five years. The committee may recommend changes in the criteria, which shall be subject to review and approval by the department faculty, dean, and Provost.

5.1.3 Deans may also recommend changes to the department tenure criteria, subject to review and approval by the department faculty and Provost. The Provost shall resolve any discrepancies between the recommendations of the department faculty and the dean.

5.1.4 Faculty members are evaluated for tenure in accordance with the approved department, program, or school tenure criteria, and university policy in place at the time of hire. Candidates



UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

Policies and Procedures

for tenure may, upon their request and if approved by the department chair, dean, and Provost, be evaluated according to more recent tenure criteria, if the criteria is revised during their probationary period.

5.1.5 The following is the timeline for RTP criteria approval:

Task	Timeline (fall or spring semester)
The department chair provides new or revised RTP criteria to the dean.	September 15 or January 17
Dean approves the proposed criteria or provides a detailed list of recommended revisions based on university policy to the department.	By October 4 or February 7
If the dean requests revisions to RTP criteria, department chair consults with the department. If the department agrees with the suggested revisions, the RTP committee will make the changes. If not, the department chair will provide a rationale to the dean in writing.	By October 25 or February 28
Dean approves the proposed criteria or provides a written response to the department's comments and forwards the following to the department chair and the Provost: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The proposed RTP criteria,• The department's response(s) if any,• Dean's response to the department if any.	By November 15 or March 20
Provost evaluates the RTP criteria, the dean's recommendation(s), and the department's response(s), and then approves or provides a detailed list of recommended revisions to the dean and department chair based on university policy.	By December 6 or April 10
In cases where the Provost recommends revisions, the department chair shall respond in writing; then the Provost shall review the department chair's responses and make final revisions before approving the RTP criteria.	By January 5 or May 1

5.2 RTP Committee Composition

5.2.1 A retention, tenure, and promotion committee (RTP committee) shall consist of an odd number of at least five tenured faculty members elected by a simple majority of the tenured and tenure-track faculty of the department.



UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

Policies and Procedures

5.2.2 When a sufficient number of tenured faculty members is not available and elected in a department, or other conditions exist that make clustering of departments desirable for evaluating faculty, the following options are available:

- 1) Under the direction of the dean, members may be elected by the department tenured and tenure-track faculty from among tenured faculty members in other departments of related disciplines.
- 2) Under the direction of the dean, departments of related disciplines may share the same RTP committee consisting of tenured faculty members elected by the tenured and tenure-track faculty of the affected departments.
- 3) In either case, the final composition of the RTP committee must be approved by the dean.

5.2.3 Department chairs may vote as a faculty member for election of members to serve on RTP committees.

5.2.4 Faculty members in full-time administrative positions may not vote for election of members to serve on RTP committees.

5.2.5 The RTP committee elects annually one of its members as a chair.

5.2.6 A department chair may not serve on the RTP committee of their own department.

5.2.7 RTP recommendations are decided by a simple majority vote.

5.2.8 RTP committee members serve a three-year term. Faculty members may serve an unlimited number of terms, but preferably no more than two terms in succession.

5.3 Composition of the University Tenure Advisory Committee

5.3.1 The University Tenure Advisory Committee (Advisory Committee) shall consist of one tenured faculty member from each college/school. These committee members shall be nominated through and elected by the college/school tenured and tenure-track faculty. College/school elections shall be conducted by the Faculty Senate Elections Committee through common procedures. The initial cohort will serve staggered two-year, three-year, or four-year terms. After the members of the initial cohort have completed their term of service, three-year terms shall become the standard term length.

5.3.2 Advisory Committee members shall elect a committee chair from among the members of the committee. The committee chair shall serve in this capacity until their term on the committee ends.



UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

Policies and Procedures

5.3.3 Advisory Committee members must demonstrate commitment to the teaching mission of the University, maintain confidentiality, and attend required meetings for the evaluation of tenure review portfolios.

5.3.4 Advisory Committee members are expected to read, understand, and apply

- 1) University RTP criteria,
- 2) Department/college RTP criteria as they apply to each candidate being considered for tenure, and
- 3) University policies.

5.3.5 Advisory Committee members are also expected to critically review tenure review portfolios against approved department and university requirements and university policies.

5.4 Tenure-Related Decisions at the Time of Hire

5.4.1 Faculty tenure status is determined at the time of initial hire. Faculty hired on the tenure-track typically enter the track in their first probationary year.

5.4.2 A candidate for hire into a tenure-track position may be granted up to four years toward tenure for equivalent tenure-track experience at other institutions if approved by the dean and Provost. A determination of the necessity and timing of a midterm review of candidates for hire who are granted three or four years toward tenure at the time of hire is recommended by the department and approved by the dean and Provost. Years awarded toward tenure shall not exceed the number of years actually served at previous institutions in tenure-track.

5.4.3 If a newly hired faculty member or administrator has been awarded tenure previously at another regionally accredited institution or equivalent, he or she may be awarded tenure at hire, or after a specified period of full-time employment after hire as agreed upon at the time of hire, if approved by the President, in consultation with the Board of Trustees, who shall consider recommendations by the appropriate RTP committee.

5.4.4 Years awarded toward tenure or the granting of tenure at the time of hire shall be communicated to the faculty candidate in the letter of appointment.

5.4.5 For faculty members who begin their employment in a tenure-track position with UVU at some time other than the beginning of Fall semester of the regular academic year, the dean shall recommend and the Provost approve the year in which the affected faculty member shall apply for midterm review and/or tenure. This determination shall be made in consultation with the prospective faculty member and documented at time of hire.



UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

Policies and Procedures

5.5 Orientation of New Faculty, Development, and Annual Review of the Tenure Plan

5.5.1 The department chair shall provide a copy of this tenure policy and the approved department-specific tenure criteria to each newly hired tenure-track faculty member within the first month after hire. The department chair shall provide a copy of this tenure policy and the approved department-specific tenure criteria to each newly hired tenure-track faculty member within the first month after hire.

5.5.2 Within the first semester of hire in a tenure-track position, a new faculty member shall meet jointly with the department chair and the chair of the RTP committee to develop the expectations of the faculty member's performance during the probationary period, consistent with established department tenure criteria. The expectations agreed upon constitute the candidate's initial tenure plan. Such expectations shall be clear and reasonable, yet not overly prescriptive, allowing for a broad range of faculty achievement. The tenure plan shall be written; signed by the faculty member, department chair, and RTP committee chair; and a copy shall be placed in the faculty member's official personnel file.

5.5.3 Department chairs shall review and document the faculty member's progress toward tenure in annual reviews. If the faculty member is serving as a department chair while on tenure-track, the dean shall review and document the department chair's progress toward tenure in annual reviews during the faculty member's tenure as department chair.

5.6 The Faculty Portfolio and the Tenure Review Portfolio

5.6.1 Candidates for tenure are responsible for maintaining a faculty portfolio that documents their contributions and accomplishments in teaching, scholarly and creative works, and professional service, consistent with department tenure criteria. The faculty portfolio shall contain at least the following:

- 1) A detailed table of contents listing every entry in the portfolio
- 2) A brief statement wherein the faculty member describes the nature of their contribution to the profession and to the University, the extent to which department expectations were met, any circumstances that helped or hindered their progress, and any other information that shall be beneficial to the reviewers in evaluating the material in the portfolio
- 3) A current curriculum vitae
- 4) A section containing evidence of achievements in teaching, including a self-assessment of the faculty member's teaching performance and experiences, a supervisor assessment, peer assessments, Students Ratings of Instruction (SRI) from all courses taught during the evaluation period, evidence of contributions to curriculum and course development, professional development related to teaching, and any other evidence related to teaching



UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

Policies and Procedures

5) A section containing evidence of scholarly and/or creative works, such as publications, presentations, performances, and discipline-related professional development

6) A section containing evidence of discipline-related service to the department, the college/school, the University, the profession, and the community

5.6.2 The tenure review portfolio is created by the chair of the RTP committee when the faculty member submits their faculty portfolio at the time of midterm or tenure review. The RTP committee chair creates the initial tenure review portfolio by combining the following with the faculty portfolio: (1) Copies of the faculty member's annual reviews from the evaluation period, (2) the tenure criteria against which the faculty member shall be evaluated, and (3) all solicited peer evaluations. All reviews of the candidate by the RTP committee, department chair, dean, Provost, and President of the University shall be added to the tenure review portfolio as each respective review is completed.

5.6.3 During the evaluation process, the contents of the tenure review portfolio shall be kept confidential and only made available to those with responsibility for reviewing the faculty member's portfolio.

5.6.4 Evaluations

5.6.4.1 Student evaluations of teaching shall take place each semester for each course assigned to the faculty member. Additional student evaluations may be conducted as determined by the department chair or dean. All student evaluation results shall be included by the faculty member in the faculty portfolio. (See UVU Policy 631 *Student Evaluations of Faculty and Courses*.)

5.6.4.2 In the year of midterm or tenure review, the RTP committee, in consultation with the faculty member and the department chair, shall solicit peer evaluations of the teaching, scholarly or creative works, and service of the faculty member. Soliciting evaluations of scholarly and/or creative work from peers outside the University is encouraged. These evaluations shall be added to the tenure review portfolio by the RTP committee chair. Identification of the peer reviewers shall be known to the RTP committee and others charged with reviewing the tenure review portfolio, but shall not be disclosed to the tenure candidate unless identification becomes material during a tenure appeal.

5.6.4.3 In the case of midterm review, the RTP committee shall recommend for or against retention of the faculty member in a detailed report. The report shall comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the faculty member relative to the department tenure criteria and shall include the vote tally that led to the final decision. If the decision is to retain the probationary faculty member, the report shall provide comments and recommendations concerning the faculty member's progress toward tenure.

5.6.4.4 In the case of tenure review, the RTP committee shall recommend for or against tenure of the faculty member in a detailed report. The report shall comment on the strengths and



UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

Policies and Procedures

weaknesses of the faculty member relative to the department tenure criteria and shall include the vote tally that led to the final decision.

5.6.4.5 Candor is expected and is critical to both the University and the candidate for tenure in all reviews.

5.6.5 During the midterm or tenure review process, recommendations by the RTP committee, department chair, dean, and Provost, addressed to the person at the next level of review, become part of the tenure review portfolio as it passes to each level of review. Recommendations that disagree with those made at a previous level of review shall be explained in the accompanying recommendation document.

5.6.6 The tenure review portfolio shall be returned to the faculty member within 14 days after the final decision for midterm or tenure review. Copies of the peer reviews with the identifying information of the peer reviewer redacted shall be included in the candidate's faculty tenure review portfolio when it is returned to the candidate at the end of the review process. Peer reviews with the identifying information of the peer reviewer intact shall be retained in a confidential file in the Office of the Provost. In the case of a negative decision, a copy of the tenure portfolio shall be kept with Academic Affairs until any associated appeal process has concluded.

5.6.7 Electronic portfolios and hard-copy portfolios shall be handled in the same way. Electronic files used in midterm and tenure reviews must reside in systems provided or approved by the University.

5.7 Midterm and Tenure Review Processes

5.7.1 Unless the faculty member has been notified that their employment shall not be continued, the chair of the RTP committee shall notify the faculty member in writing by March 1 of the fifth probationary year that he or she must apply for tenure by September 15 of the next academic year. By March 15 the chair of the RTP committee shall have consulted with the faculty member for input in determining suitable candidates from whom to solicit peer evaluations. (See section 5.6.4.2.)

5.7.2 Faculty members apply for midterm review or tenure in the Fall semester of the third or sixth year of the probationary period, respectively. By September 15, faculty members shall apply for midterm review or tenure by submitting a letter of application and their faculty portfolio to the chair of the RTP committee. Portfolios and letters of application may be delivered electronically.

5.7.3 If a faculty member does not submit a portfolio for midterm review or tenure, the RTP committee shall discuss with the department chair the possibility and propriety of a one-year-terminal appointment. The department chair shall write a recommendation for or against a one-year terminal appointment and submit it to the dean. The dean shall write a similar



UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

Policies and Procedures

recommendation and submit it to the Provost. The Provost shall make a final determination regarding the faculty member's terminal appointment, and shall inform the faculty member, department chair, and dean of the decision in writing.

5.7.4 The RTP committee creates the faculty member's tenure review portfolio, which consists initially of the faculty portfolio and the evaluations described in section 5.6. The RTP committee may request any additional information from the faculty member and/or peers that it deems appropriate. The RTP committee shall review the tenure review portfolio according to approved university policy and department tenure criteria. The RTP committee adds its written review and recommendation for or against retention or tenure, as appropriate, to the tenure review portfolio.

5.7.5 By October 7, the chair of the RTP committee shall forward the tenure review portfolio along with the committee's written recommendation to the department chair (or dean, if the faculty member is a department chair).

5.7.6 No later than October 21, the department chair shall forward the tenure review portfolio along with a written recommendation to the dean (unless the faculty member is a department chair, in which case the RTP committee forwards the tenure review portfolio directly to the dean).

5.7.7 By November 7, the dean provides a copy of the solicited peer evaluations (with the identifying information of the peer reviewer redacted) and the recommendations of the RTP committee, department chair, and dean to the candidate. No later than November 14, the faculty member may deliver a written response to all recommendations up to that point to the dean for inclusion in the tenure review portfolio.

5.7.8 No later than December 1, the dean shall forward the tenure review portfolio along with all written recommendations and faculty response, if any, to the Provost.

5.7.9 The Provost's office shall provide to the Advisory Committee a list of all of the tenure candidates, so that tenure review portfolio assignments for committee members can be made prior to but no later than December 1.

5.7.10 The Advisory Committee shall assess each tenure review portfolio. Each portfolio will be reviewed by a minimum of three Advisory Committee members. The process and portfolio content-assessment form used by the committee shall be based on university criteria as defined in section 4.2 of this policy and in UVU Policy 635 *Faculty Rights and Professional Responsibilities*. The Advisory Committee review shall verify that each tenure review portfolio (1) fulfills university and department requirements, (2) is complete, (3) has been considered equitably at the prior levels of review, and (4) represents procedural fairness and quality at the prior levels of review. The Advisory Committee shall not review the quality of a tenure review portfolio's specific contents. The Advisory Committee shall complete an assessment form for each tenure review portfolio and classify it as either unproblematic or problematic. If a portfolio is found to be problematic, the committee shall provide comments explaining the reason(s). This



UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

Policies and Procedures

assessment form will be made available to tenure candidates at the beginning of the tenure process to assist them in the preparation and organization of their tenure review portfolio.

5.7.10.1 Tenure review portfolios shall be deemed unproblematic if they contain all of the following elements:

- 1) The tenure review portfolio contains, in order, all requisite documents addressing the relevant criteria for promotion, tenure, or both.
- 2) The department RTP committee vote was unanimous and clearly based on department and university criteria and university policies.
- 3) A detailed department RTP committee recommendation letter unambiguously, and clearly based on department and university criteria and university policies and without a dissenting or concurring opinion, unanimously recommends promotion and/or tenure, or denial of the same.
- 4) The detailed recommendation letters from the tenure candidate's department chair and dean also unambiguously and clearly based on department and university criteria and university policies, recommend promotion and/or tenure, or denial of promotion and/or tenure.
- 5) The tenure candidate does not submit in writing within timeframes established by other RTP policies any objection to the criteria applied to their review or point to some other factor sufficient to change the review result in response to factors 1–4 above.

5.7.10.2 Tenure review portfolios may be deemed problematic if they contain one or more of the following issues:

- 1) The tenure review portfolio does not contain, in order, all requisite documents addressing the relevant criteria.
- 2) The department RTP committee vote was not unanimous or was not clearly based on department and university criteria and university policies.
- 3) The department RTP letter contains a dissenting or concurring view, sufficient to raise a problem with the tenure candidate's application for tenure.
- 4) The tenure candidate's department chair and/or dean failed to unambiguously support the applicant or placed a letter in the applicant's file disclosing one or more substantive problems with the applicant meeting the relevant criteria for promotion and/or tenure.
- 5) The tenure candidate contests the result of any of the deficiencies or problems contained within items 1–4 above and raises plausible reasons to suspect that the result might have been erroneous.



UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

Policies and Procedures

6) The Provost notes that required documents appear to be missing or present, contrary to the recommendations at any lower level, and wishes additional review for timely clarification.

5.7.10.3 If a portfolio is deemed unproblematic, no further evaluation by the Advisory Committee is required; the Advisory Committee reports that the tenure review portfolio is in order and that no further assessment is necessary. If the portfolio is found to be problematic, the Advisory Committee shall conduct a thorough review of the tenure review portfolio and provide its views on the portfolio as a whole, as well as its views on any of the deficiencies or problems noted. If any problem or deficiency found in the tenure review portfolio is potentially rectifiable or was not adequately considered, the tenure candidate will be given an opportunity to address the problem or deficiency, and the tenure candidate's response shall be included with the Advisory Committee's report. The committee may set a reasonable timeframe of no more than 14 calendar days for the candidate to respond in writing.

5.7.11 The Advisory Committee shall forward the findings for each tenure review portfolio to the Provost no later than February 15. The Provost shall make final tenure recommendations based upon university policies and the quality and content of a candidate's tenure review portfolio.

5.7.12 In cases of midterm review, the Provost shall review the tenure review portfolio and render a written decision to the faculty member, dean, department chair, and chair of the RTP committee no later than March 1. If the decision is that the midterm faculty member is not to be retained, the candidate shall lose probationary status. The candidate shall be offered a one-year, terminal appointment for the next academic year.

5.7.13 In cases of tenure review, the Provost reviews the tenure review portfolio and forwards their written recommendation to the President of the University no later than March 1.

5.7.14 The President of the University, in consultation with the Board of Trustees, decides whether to award or deny tenure. Within 14 days, the Provost conveys the decision of the President to the faculty member by letter. Copies of the letter are sent to the dean and department chair. Faculty members who are denied tenure shall be offered a one-year, terminal appointment for the next academic year, except in cases of termination for cause, due to a bona fide program or unit discontinuance, or financial exigency.

5.7.15 If a deadline specified in this policy does not fall on a business day, the deadline shall be extended to the next business day. Reasonable exceptions to these dates due to extraordinary circumstances may be allowed if approved by the Provost.



UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

Policies and Procedures

5.8 Summary of Dates in the Midterm and Tenure Review Processes

	Candidate is NOT a Department Chair	Candidate IS a Department Chair
March 1	RTP chair informs candidate of pending tenure application deadline and requests input in choosing peer evaluators.	
March 15	RTP chair receives input from faculty member concerning candidate peer evaluators. Requests for one-year extensions to the probationary period must be received by the department chair by this date (see section 5.10.1).	
April 15	One-year extensions approved or denied by the RTP committee, department chair, dean, and Provost.	
September 15	Candidate requests midterm review or tenure by submitting a letter of application and faculty portfolio to the RTP chair.	
October 7	RTP committee submits the tenure review portfolio and recommendation to the department chair.	RTP committee submits the tenure review portfolio and recommendation to the dean.
October 21	Department chair submits tenure review portfolio and recommendation to the dean.	N/A
November 7	Dean delivers a copy of the solicited peer evaluations and the recommendations of the RTP committee, department chair, and dean to the candidate.	
November 14	Final date for candidate to submit a written response to the dean.	
December 1	Dean submits tenure review portfolio, which includes all recommendations and the faculty response, if any, to the Provost.	
February 15	Advisory Committee forwards findings for each tenure review portfolio to the Provost.	
March 1	Provost midterm decision due, or Provost final tenure recommendation submitted to the President of the University.	
Late March	The President of the University, in consultation with the Board of Trustees, approves or denies tenure.	
March/April	Decision delivered to candidate in writing.	
April/May	Appeal process, if candidate appeals.	



UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

Policies and Procedures

At Conclusion of Process	Candidate retrieves tenure review portfolio (with identifying information of peer reviewers redacted) from the Provost.
--------------------------	---

5.9 Adjustments to the Probationary Period

5.9.1 Department Transfer during the Probationary Period

5.9.1.1 Probationary years may be fulfilled in more than one department, subject to the recommendation of the respective department chairs, with input from the faculty involved, and if approved by the respective deans and the Provost.

5.9.1.2 When possible, transfers during the probationary period shall be avoided in the years of midterm or tenure review unless the disciplines are so closely related that the tenure plan of the transfer candidate is applicable to the discipline of the new department.

5.9.1.3 Any change to the length of the probationary period must be requested by the faculty member and approved by the chair of the new department, the dean of the new college/school, if applicable, and the Provost.

5.9.2 Tenure Clock Stoppage

5.9.2.1 Upon request of a faculty member, the recommendations of the department chair and dean, and the approval of the Provost, the probationary period may be interrupted for a specified time when circumstances would justifiably prevent the faculty member from making progress on the tenure plan. Examples of such circumstances that may receive consideration include, but are not limited to, childbirth or adoption, military duty, or other extenuating medical, professional, or personal circumstances.

5.10 Request for Extension of the Probationary Period

5.10.1 One-year extensions to the probationary period for tenure, while rarely granted, are possible. If the faculty member requests a one-year extension, he or she shall submit a letter requesting and justifying the extension to the department chair by March 15 of the fifth year of the probationary period. Extensions to the probationary period shall be approved or denied by the RTP committee, department chair, dean, and Provost by April 15 of the faculty member's fifth probationary year.

5.11 Request for Reduction of the Probationary Period

5.11.1 In rare cases, and when accompanied by extraordinary performance by the faculty member, the probationary period for tenure may be shortened if requested by the faculty member and approved by the applicant's RTP committee, department chair, dean, and Provost.



UTAH VALLEY UNIVERSITY

Policies and Procedures

5.12 Administrative Appointments during the Tenure-Track Period

5.12.1 A tenure-track faculty member shall normally retain probationary status while serving in an administrative position within the University. In unusual circumstances, the faculty member's tenure clock may be stopped while serving in an administrative position, if approved by the dean and Provost.

5.13 Appeals

5.13.1 Faculty members may appeal decisions relating to a denial of tenure or a non-renewal of appointment resulting from a midterm review according to the provisions and timelines outlined in UVU Policy 646 *Faculty Appeals for Retention, Tenure, and Promotion*.

POLICY HISTORY		
Date of Last Formal Review: April 25, 2024		
Due Date of Next Review: April 25, 2029		
June 10, 2017	Limited Scope changes, Regular policy process, approved.	UVU Board of Trustees
June 22, 2017	Revised policy approved	UVU Board of Trustees
April 29, 2021	Revised policy with limited scope revisions approved.	UVU Board of Trustees
April 25, 2024	Compliance change, mandated by HB 438, Utah Legislature, approved.	UVU President's Council
May 6, 2024	Compliance change ratified.	UVU Board of Trustees
May 7, 2025	Nonsubstantive change to update title of Policy 165.	UVU Policy Office